TO: Mr. Peter Sands, Global Fund to Fight AIDS, TB, and Malaria

Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, World Health Organization

Dr. Catharina Boehme, World Health Organization

Dr. Sergio Carmona, FIND

Dr. Philippe Duneton, Unitaid

Mr. Ira Magaziner, CHAI

Ms. Etleva Kadilli, UNICEF

Dr. Olusoji Adeyi, World Bank

Ms. Adriana Costa, World Bank

Dr. Emilio Emini, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

Dr. John Nkengasong, Africa CDC

Dr. Benjamin Djoudalbaye, African Union

Mr. Ngobile Ndlovu, African Society for Laboratory Medicine

Honorable Zweli Mkhize, National Department of Health, South Africa

Honorable Rajesh Bhushan, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India

Honorable Eduardo Pazuello, Ministry of Health, Brazil

Honorable Mutahi Kagwe, Ministry of Health, Kenya

Mr. Balram Bhargava, Indian Council of Medical Research

Dr. Jarbas Barbosa, PAHO

Ms. Gloria D. Steele, USAID

Global Fund Members of the Board

Unitaid Members of the Board

ACT-A Facilitation Council Members

ACT-A Diagnostics Pillar and Diagnostics Consortium Members

Integrated Diagnostics Consortium Members

CC: Mr. Warren C. Kocmond, President and Chief Operating Officer, Cepheid Mr. Philippe Jacon, President, Global Access, Cepheid

1 April 2021

Dear Colleagues,

On 25 February 2021, 108 civil society organizations sent an open letter to Cepheid requesting that the company increase access to Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) by committing a greater proportion of Cepheid's manufacturing capacity to LMICs and by lowering the prices of Xpert tests for SARS-CoV-2 and other diseases to \$5 per test.

The requests from the 108 civil society organizations to Cepheid are motivated by and based on:

(1) the inequitable global distribution of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 test supply during the COVID-19 pandemic, with less than 15% of the company's total supply allocated to LMICs—which over the past decade invested in scaling up Cepheid's GeneXpert instruments for the

- diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB) and other diseases, but now do not have sufficient access to Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests;^{1,2}
- (2) the only publicly available independent cost-of-goods-sold (COGS) analysis of Xpert cartridges which shows that while Cepheid charges between \$10 (TB) to \$20 (SARS-CoV-2, Ebola) per Xpert test for LMICs, it likely costs Cepheid between \$3 to \$5 to manufacture each Xpert test across diseases at volumes over 10 million tests annually,³ with the likelihood of even lower production costs given that Cepheid sold 9 million SARS-CoV-2 tests in Q4 of 2020 alone, and the company's response to civil society specifically mentions unprecedented high sales volumes of the TB and virology cartridges in 2020;^{2,4}
- (3) Cepheid surpassed \$2 billion in annual revenue in 2020, representing 100% growth over 2019, largely through sales of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests. Given this and the 35% increase in GeneXpert instrument sales during 2020 from the previous year, overcharging LMICs \$19.80 per test is just exploitative behavior;² and
- (4) a forthcoming peer-reviewed manuscript reporting that at least \$252 million in public funds were invested in the research and development of GeneXpert technologies, including \$4.7 million from the U.S. Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) to Cepheid to develop the Xpert SARS-CoV-2 assays,⁵ which amounts to a massive public subsidy that Cepheid used to initially establish itself as a company and more recently to double its revenues.

In Cepheid's 13 March reply to civil society, the company did not provide any substantive information to address the evidence-based requests in the open letter calling for lower prices for Xpert tests and increased supply of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests for LMICs. Instead, Cepheid referred to their high and exorbitant Xpert test prices for LMICs as "compassionate" and implied that Cepheid's supply of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests for LMICs has been adequate. Meanwhile, GeneXpert technology was largely developed through public funding and Cepheid as a company was built off of the "markets" and the biospecimens of people with TB in LMICs. Cepheid's clear dismissal of the concerns and requests of the undersigned civil society and affected community organizations struggling for access to rapid molecular tests necessary to address the ongoing concurrent pandemics and public health crises presented by COVID-19, TB, HIV, HCV, and other diseases is disrespectful and unacceptable.

As we write to you now, members of the COVID-19 Diagnostics Consortium are negotiating with Cepheid for affordable prices and increased supply of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests to be committed to the Consortium for allocation to LMICs. Abbott has already reduced the price of its molecular test for COVID-19 from \$15 to \$10,6 because they have reached sufficient volumes of sales. In the past year Cepheid doubled its revenue from \$1 billion to \$2 billion largely through the sales of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests. Cepheid's volumes for Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests alone are expected to exceed 36 million in 2021.² The only outcome acceptable to civil society is a reduced price of Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests and an increased proportion of Cepheid's manufacturing capacity for Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests committed to LMICs.

This type of behavior by Cepheid did not begin with COVID-19. Since 2010, Cepheid has held a monopoly on rapid molecular testing for TB, and the high prices across Xpert tests, GeneXpert instruments, and service and maintenance plans have prohibited the ability of countries to scale up and fully implement testing according to WHO recommendations. In 2013, the WHO recommended rapid molecular tests as the initial TB test for all; yet, in 2019 an estimated 30% of people with TB were not diagnosed or notified, and only 28% of people diagnosed with TB received rapid molecular testing as the initial test. In the context of COVID-19, testing for TB and other diseases has been even further set back.

To address these concurrent crises, the undersigned 142 organizations urgently appeal to you as leading health actors working to improve public health to:

- (1) commit to apply collective leverage necessary to successfully negotiate higher supply volumes of Cepheid's Xpert SARS-CoV-2 tests for LMICs and lower prices for Xpert tests across diseases according to COGS-plus (COGS plus rational % of profit) and volume-based pricing structures, along with affordable and reliable service and maintenance plans and more affordable delivery models for GeneXpert instruments;
- (2) commit to establishing a standardized methodology for determining COGS for diagnostics and appointing a normative body to undertake independent COGS evaluations, to update these evaluations with changing global sales volumes, and to make this information publicly available to procuring countries and funding agencies; and
- (3) increase investments in the development and rollout of alternative rapid molecular testing platforms for LMICs to improve access to COVID-19, HIV, TB, HBV, and HCV diagnosis and promote competition that has been sorely missing over the past decade, especially in TB. Investments should be conditional on company commitment to COGS-plus and volume-based pricing and favorable terms of service and maintenance.

As representatives of global civil society and affected communities, we look forward to working with you to improve access to diagnostics, including most urgently through the application of collective will and leverage.

Sincerely,

Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights, Canada
AIDS Access Foundation, Thailand
AIDS Action Baltimore, United States
AIDS and Rights Alliance for Southern Africa (ARASA), Namibia
Akbar Sumatera Barat Foundation, Indonesia
Alliance for Public Health, Ukraine
Americas TB Coalition, United States
APCASO, Asia-Pacific
APLA, United States

Asha Parivar, India

Ashwasti, India

Asia Pacific Network of People Living with HIV (APN+), Asia Pacific

Association Burkinabé d'Action Communautaire (ABAC), Burkina Faso

Association Nationale de Soutien aux Seropositifs et Malades du Suda, Burundi

AU-ECOSOCC Kenya National Chapter, Kenya

Brazilian National TB Community Advisory Board (CCAP TB Brasil), Brazil

Canadian Aboriginal AIDS Network, Canada

Canadian AIDS Society/Société canadienne du sida, Canada

Cancer Alliance, South Africa

Carmelo Hospital of Chokwe, Mozambique

CENTA, Tanzania

CHISA, Malawi

Citizen News Service (CNS), India

Coalición TB de las Americas, Uruguay

Coalition des organismes communautaires québécois de lutte contre le sida (COCQ-SIDA), Canada

Coalition of People Fighting HIV/TB in Migori (COPFAM), Kenya

Coalition PLUS, Global

Community and Family Aid Foundation, Ghana

COVID Advocates Advisory Board (CAAB), Global

Cultura LLC, United States

Delhi Network of Positive People (DNP+), India

Disabled and HIV/AIDS Organization Lesotho (DHAOL), Lesotho

Dr Uzo Adirieje Foundation (DUZAFOUND), Nigeria

EMPOWER INDIA, India

End Hep C SF, United States

European AIDS Treatment Group (EATG), Belgium

Fountain of Hope CBO, Kenya

Fundación Grupo Efecto Positivo, Argentina

Fundación IFARMA, Colombia

Fundamental Human Rights & Rural Development Association (FHRRDA), Pakistan

Gaya Celebes Foundation Makassar, Indonesia

GIV (Grupo de Incentivo à Vida), Brazil

Global Coalition of TB Activists (GCTA), Global

Global Media Foundation, Ghana

Global Network of People Living with HIV (GNP+), Global

Global Tuberculosis Community Advisory Board (TB CAB), Global

Great-Lakes in Action for Peace and Sustainable Development (GLAPD), Democratic Republic of the Congo

Grupo de Ativistas em Tratamentos (GAT), Portugal

Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction Center, United States

Health and Development Alliance (HEAD), Cambodia

Health GAP (Global Access Project), Global

Health Justice Initiative, South Africa

Health Options for Young Men on HIV/AIDS/STI (HOYMAS), Kenya

Health Poverty Action, United Kingdom

Hep Free Hawaii, United States

Hepatitis C Mentor and Support Group (HCMSG), United States

HIV Legal Network, Canada

Human Touch Foundation, India

IFARMA Foundation, Colombia

Ignite Your Destiny International, Kenya

Indigenous Women Empowerment Network, Ghana

Indonesia AIDS Coalition, Indonesia

Initiative for Health & Equity in Society, India

Institut de la société civile pour le VIH et la santé en Afrique Ouest et Centre, Senegal

Interagency Coalition on AIDS and Development, Canada

International Indigenous Working Group on HIV & AIDS, Canada

International Treatment Preparedness Coalition (ITPC), South Africa

ITPC-South Asia, South Asia

Janna Health Foundation, Nigeria

JAPETI (Jaringan Peduli TB Indonesia)/Indonesia TB Care Network, Indonesia

Jointed Hands Welfare Organisation, Zimbabwe

JSH Consulting, Germany

KANCO, Kenya

Kenya Treatment Access Movement (KETAM), Kenya

Khmer HIV/AIDS NGO Alliance (KHANA), Cambodia

Leona Foundation, Kenya

Leprosy and TB Relief Initiative (LTR), Nigeria

LHL International Tuberculosis Foundation, Norway

Malawi Network of Religious Leaders Living with or Personally Affected by HIV and AIDS

(MANERELA+), Malawi

Mambokaaje CBO, Kenya

Maryknoll Sisters, United States

Médecins Du Monde Mission in South Caucasus, Georgia

Médecins Sans Frontières - Access Campaign, Switzerland

Medical Impact, Kenya

Medical IMPACT, Mexico

Meera Foundation, India

Mikayi Dev Organization, Kenya

Moi County Referral Hospital, Kenya

Montefiore Medical Center, United States

Namibia Diverse Women's Association (NDWA), Namibia

National Coalition of People Living with HIV in India (NCPI Plus), India

Network of TB Champions, Kenya

NGO IRD, Ukraine

NGO RIEC "INTILISH", Uzbekistan

Nils-Siama Organisation Kibera, Kenya

Northwest Coalition for Responsible Investment, United States

Organisation for Health in Sustainable Development (OHISD), Cameroon

Pamoja TB Group, Kenya

Pan African Positive Women's Coalition, Zimbabwe

Partners In Health, Global

Persaudaraan Korban NAPZA Bogor, Indonesia

Philippine Business for Social Progress, Philippines

Pont Sante Afrique ASBL/ONGD, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Positive Malaysian Treatment Access & Advocacy Group (MTAAG+), Malaysia

Positive Women's Network USA, United States

Public Health Action, South Africa

Rede Paulista de Controle Social da Tuberculose, Brazil

Romanian Association Against AIDS (ARAS), Romania

San Francisco AIDS Foundation, United States

San Francisco Hepatitis C Task Force, United States

Section 27, South Africa

Sikkim Drug Users' Forum, India

Sisters of Charity of Saint Elizabeth, United States

Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia, United States

Social Awareness Service Organisation, India

Socialist Party, India

Society for Women against AIDS in Africa (SWAA SENEGAL), Senegal

Southern African Programme on Access to Medicines and Diagnostics, South Africa

Sportsmenwomen Fighting HIV/TB in Kenya, Kenya

Survivors Organization, Kenya

Swaziland Migrant Mineworkers Association (SWAMMIWA), Eswatini

Tanzania Network for People who Use Drugs (TaNPUD), Tanzania

TB Patients Association SMIT (Society of Moldova against Tuberculosis), Republic of Moldova TB Proof, South Africa

The Botswana Network on Ethics, Law & HIV/AIDS (BONELA), Botswana

The International Union Against TB and Lung Disease, France

The Sentinel Project on Pediatric Drug Resistant Tuberculosis, United States

Third World Network, Malaysia

TINPSWALO ASSOCIATION - Vicentian Association to Fight AIDS & TB, Mozambique

Treatment Action Group (TAG), United States

Treatment Preparedness Coalition in Eastern Europe and Central Asia (ITPCru), Russian Federation

Trinity Health, United States

Tropical Logistics International Ltd., Kenya

Tunisian Center for Public Health, Tunisia

Urban Survivors Union, United States

Volunteers for Development Nepal (VFDN), Nepal

Walter Sisulu University, South Africa

Wote Youth Development Projects, Kenya Yale University School of Public Health, United States Yolse, Santé Publique et Innovation, Switzerland Zimbabwe Civil Liberties and Drug Network, Zimbabwe Zimbabwe Community Competence Trust, Zimbabwe

¹ World Health Organization. Procurement considerations for COVID-19 diagnostics. 2021 Jan 25. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/procurement-considerations-for-covid-19-diagnostics.pdf?sfvrsn=70a480ce_16.

² 360Dx. Danaher Q4 revenues up 39 percent. 2021 Jan 28. https://www.360dx.com/businessnews/danaher-q4-revenues-39-percent#.YCbacNNKjUJ.

³ MSF. Time for five: GeneXpert diagnostic tests. 2019 Dec. https://msfaccess.org/time-for-5.

⁴ Cepheid. Letter responding to the 25 February civil society open letter. 2021 March 13. https://www.tbonline.info/media/uploads/documents/tag_response_march2021.pdf.

⁵ BARDA. BARDA's rapidly expanding COVID-19 medical countermeasure portfolio. https://medicalcountermeasures.gov/app/barda/coronavirus/COVID19.aspx.

⁶ World Health Organization. Emergency Global Supplies Catalogue (COVID-19). 2021 March 11. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/emergency-global-supply-chain-system-covid-19-catalogue.

⁷ England K, Masini T, Fajardo E. Detecting tuberculosis: rapid tools but slow progress. Public Health Action. 2019 Sep 21; 9(3):80–3. https://doi.org/10.5588/pha.19.0013.

⁸ Piatek AS, Wells WA, Shen KC, Colvin CE. Realizing the "40 by 2022" commitment from the United Nations High-Level Meeting on the Fight to End Tuberculosis: what will it take to meet rapid diagnostic testing needs? Global Health: Science and Practice. 2019 Dec; 7(4):551–63. https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-19-00244.

⁹ World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336069/9789240013131-eng.pdf.